

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/668,820	ORTEGA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612	

All Participants:

(1) Dennis H. Pedder.

(2) Mr. Tak.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Time: afternoon

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,5, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the lack of showing under Rule 83 for the offset from the bottom trailing edges, a feature not deemed to be necessary to allowability. Mr. Tak authorized deletion of same. The claims are allowable as set forth in the applicant's remarks regarding the plate width and function.